MassNews.com

Home



Get your Copy Today
Click Here

Freedom Will Conquer Racism
Click Here

Sad to See Marshall Groveling, but It's Not Surprising

When the Boston Globe jumps to the defense of Margaret Marshall, she's in trouble.

But as you read their article, you might find it difficult to believe that they're defending her. She has to learn to "keep her mouth shut," is what they say. That's the first sentence in an article by columnist Brian McGrory.

Is that respectful language when talking about the Chief Justice of our Supreme Judicial Court? Is it possible that the owners of the Globe (the Sulzberger family) who are located at "The New York Times Company" down in New York City, are not defending Judge Marshall but are revealing their intention of throwing her overboard? We've already published articles about the Sulzberger family being at odds with Marshall, ever since the 2004 election.

Columnist McGrory informs us that Marshall had trouble with her mouth while speaking last May at the Commencement of liberal Brandeis University. She looked at the festive blue-and-white balloons and quipped to the friendly audience of 7,000 friends: "No red states here." Nothing wrong with that. She always says things like that.

The problem this time was that some "conservative nut" complained. He believed that judges are still expected to be impartial, especially in politics, even in Massachusetts. The identity of the "nut" was not revealed but Marshall was required to apologize. This was the first public complaint about any SJC judge, much less the Chief, that anyone can remember.

Personally Warned Two Years Ago

Judge Marshall was personally warned by us in May 2003 in front of all the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court, that a "seismic revolution" would occur if she insisted upon imposing gay marriage upon the state as she was obviously planning to do.

We take no pleasure in seeing her grovel now.

Instead we see the many, excellent women lawyers who are being treated like "tokens" because of extreme feminists like Marshall. The damage to capable women is immeasurable. Marshall has always haughtily dismissed those who dare to disagree with her.

But the Pawlicks continued to warn her when they saw what she had planned.

Sally Pawlick filed a formal Ethics Complaint in April 2003 which was dismissed as expected, but it gave Judge Marshall formal notice that she was being watched closely. Attorney J. Edward Pawlick stated his personal warning to her in public and in person on May 9, 2003 before all the Justices. Her *illegal* ruling (according to three of the Associate Justices) on gay marriage came six months later on Nov. 18, 2003.

Toying With the Families of Three Judges Who Helped Her

Marshall is now toying with the families of the three Associate Justices who signed her illegal Order for gay marriage. There's no doubt they will lose all their millions of dollars in pension benefits if they don't resign quickly. This is a very dangerous game for them to play.

The families of Roderick Ireland and John Greaney, especially, are not rich. They have spent 28- or 27-years of their lives as judges and are dependent on their pensions. (Ireland has 28-years of service; Greaney has 27-years.)

Judge Marshall must not continue this selfish course. She is irreparably

stained from her public assurance in 1999 before the lesbian attorney, Mary Bonauto, at the annual meeting of the Gay & Lesbian Bar Association. There, she publicly assured Bonauto she would win a suit for gay marriage if it were filed in this state.

Margaret Marshall will always be a tragic figure if she remains on as Chief Justice. Much better to resign with dignity and say that the world is not yet ready for her compassion. All of her friends will cheer and praise her. She will undoubtedly be quickly hired by Yale Law School or Wellesley College, for starters.